

## Sales Tax Serve Public Purpose Amendment

**Number:** PETITION

**Date:** November 29, 2005

The Honorable Barbara J. Pariente  
Chief Justice, and Justices of  
The Supreme Court of Florida  
The Supreme Court Building  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1925

Dear Chief Justice Pariente and Justices:

In accordance with the provisions of Article IV, section 10, Florida Constitution, and section 16.061, Florida Statutes, it is the responsibility of the Attorney General to petition this Honorable Court for a written opinion as to the validity of an initiative petition circulated pursuant to Article XI, section 3, Florida Constitution.

On August 24, 2005, this office received from the Secretary of State an initiative petition seeking to amend the Florida Constitution to require a legislative determination that sales tax exemptions serve a public purpose. The full text of the proposed amendment states:

"BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF FLORIDA THAT:

Article III of the Florida Constitution is hereby amended to add the following as Section 20:

Periodic legislative review of sales tax exemptions.--

Except for the current exemptions provided for: food; prescription drugs; health services; and residential rent, electricity and heating fuel, the legislature shall, prior to July 1, 2008, and prior to the first day of July for each tenth year thereafter, review all exemptions from the sales tax existing on or created subsequent to the effective date of this amendment. The Legislature shall reenact and continue only those exemptions determined to advance or serve a public purpose. Those exemptions not reenacted and continued shall lapse and end effective January 1 subsequent to the July 1 deadline enumerated in this section for the review of sales tax exemptions."

The ballot title for the proposed amendment is "Initiative requiring legislative determination that sales tax exemptions serve a public purpose." The summary for the proposed amendment states:

"The legislature shall periodically review all sales tax exemptions except those currently provided for: food; prescription drugs; health services; and residential rent, electricity and heating fuel. After such review, the legislature shall reenact and continue only those exemptions that advance or serve a legislatively determined public purpose. Sales tax exemptions not reenacted and continued by the legislature shall be eliminated."

Single Subject

Article XI, section 3, of the Florida Constitution reserves to the citizens of the State of Florida the power to propose a revision or amendment to the Florida Constitution provided that such revision or amendment, except for those limiting the power of government to raise revenue, shall embrace but one subject and matter directly connected therewith. The single-subject provision "is a rule of restraint designed to insulate Florida's organic law from precipitous and cataclysmic change." *Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General--Save Our Everglades*, 636 So. 2d 1336, 1339 (Fla. 1994); *Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General--Tax Limitation*, 644 So. 2d 486, 490 (Fla. 1994).

To comply with the single-subject requirement, an initiative must manifest a "logical and natural oneness of purpose." *Fine v. Firestone*, 448 So. 2d 984, 990 (Fla. 1984). This Court stated in *Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General--Restricts Laws Related to Discrimination*, 632 So. 2d 1018, 1020 (Fla. 1994), that "[t]o ascertain whether the necessary 'oneness of purpose' exists, we must consider whether the proposal affects separate functions of government and how the proposal affects other provisions of the constitution."

In *Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General--Fairness Initiative Requiring Legislative Determination that Sales Tax Exemptions and Exclusions Serve a Public Purpose*, 880 So. 2d 630 (Fla. 2004), this Court struck down an initiative petition seeking to amend the Florida Constitution to require the Legislature to perform a review of exemptions from and exclusions to the state's sales tax. The Court held that the initiative violated the single subject requirement by requiring the Legislature to periodically review tax exemptions on the sale of certain goods, creating a broad sales tax on undefined services that were currently excluded from the sales tax, and limiting the authority of the Legislature to pass exemptions or exclusions to the sales tax by requiring an enunciation of a public purpose. 880 So. 2d at 635. This Court stated:

"While all of these three goals arguably relate to sales taxes, and any one of these three goals might be the permissible subject of a constitutional amendment under the initiative process, we conclude that together they constitute impermissible logrolling and violate the single subject requirement of article XI, section 3, of the Florida Constitution because of the substantial, yet disparate, impact they may have."

*Id.*

The proposed initiative under consideration by this Court seeks to require the Legislature to periodically review sales tax exemptions to determine whether the exemption serves a public purpose.

Therefore, I respectfully request this Honorable Court's opinion as to whether the constitutional amendment, proposed by initiative petition, complies with Article XI, section 3, Florida Constitution.

Ballot Title and Summary

Section 101.161(1), Florida Statutes, provides in relevant part:

"Whenever a constitutional amendment . . . is submitted to the vote of the people, the substance

of such amendment . . . shall be printed in clear and unambiguous language on the ballot . . . . The wording of the substance of the amendment . . . shall be an explanatory statement, not exceeding 75 words in length, of the chief purpose of the measure. . . . The ballot title shall consist of a caption, not exceeding 15 words in length, by which the measure is commonly referred to or spoken of."

This Court has stated "that the ballot [must] be fair and advise the voter sufficiently to enable him intelligently to cast his ballot." *Askew v. Firestone*, 421 So. 2d 151, 155 (Fla. 1982), quoting, *Hill v. Milander*, 72 So. 2d 796, 798 (Fla. 1954). While the ballot title and summary must state in clear and unambiguous language the chief purpose of the measure, they need not explain every detail or ramification of the proposed amendment. *Carroll v. Firestone*, 497 So. 2d 1204, 1206 (Fla. 1986). The ballot, however, must give the voter fair notice of the decision he must make. *Askew v. Firestone*, *supra* at 155. This Court has stated that the purpose of section 101.161, Florida Statutes, is to ensure that voters are advised of the amendment's true meaning.

Therefore, I respectfully request this Honorable Court's opinion as to the amendment's ballot title and summary comply with section 101.161, Florida Statutes.

Sincerely,

Charlie Crist  
Attorney General

CC/tfl

cc: Ms. Glenda Hood  
Secretary of State

The Honorable Jeb Bush  
Governor, State of Florida

The Honorable Tom Lee  
President, Florida Senate

The Honorable Allan G. Bense  
Speaker, Florida House of Representatives

John McKay  
Chair, Floridians Against Inequities in Rates (FAIR)